

DRAFT Meeting Minutes Friday, 8 October, 2021
TAP Framework Implementation Review Committee (FIRC)
Co-chairs Heidi Lockwood (SCSU) & Sarah Selke (TRCC)

Members Present: Sarah Selke, Co-Chair, (TRCC), Heidi Lockwood, Co-Chair (SCSU), Amy Lenoce (NVCC), Anita Lee (ECSU), Jennifer “Jen” Wittke (TxCC), Mark Lynch (GCC), Matthew Dunne (HCC), Becky DeVito (CCC), Joseph Berenguel (ACC), Jamie Begian (WCSU), Sharon Cox (CCSU), Paul Morganti (COSC), Frank Stellabotte Secretary (MxCC), Gail Anne Arroyo (MCC), Kaitlyn Hoffman (SCSU), Maura O’Connor (MCC)
TAP Manager: Steve Marcelynas
Members Absent: Brian Lynch (QVCC)

Meeting Called to Order at 9:35

Introduction of new member Kaitlyn Hoffman

Approval of September minutes with one change.

Result of Vote: Unanimous

TAP Manager Report (posted in Teams)

1. Members have updated contact information for TAP Work Groups within Teams.

ACTION ITEM: Please update contact information if you haven’t already. Work Groups will be asked to convene soon for their annual review of the Transfer Tickets for the current degrees, not future consolidated programs. There was a change of language made to the Course Substitutions/Retroactive Policy at the end of the last meeting. A member asked if S.M. is the Director of the OTA. S.M. can still be considered the TAP manager, but that role is part of the responsibilities of the Director of the OTA. A member asked how a substitution is approved by each campus. S.M. mentioned that substitutions are verified with CSUs. A member pointed out that it’s important to emphasize that course substitutions may be detrimental to student success.

MOTION: To accept changes to the Course Substitution/Retroactive Policy and assign a date to the update by

Result of Vote: 12 in favor, unanimous

Review of draft SLO feedback

Members were asked to view feedback from their respective groups while viewing the documents generated by the subgroups. Members discussed section B of the Framework30 and the history of some of the competencies, such as OC and CLIL.

1. Recommendations for the SKU SLOs and the SR SLOs from the SKU/SR subgroup. Members discussed the SKU SLOs. Members discussed whether the term “scientific claim” or “claim about the natural world” should be used in the third proposed SLO. Members discussed adding a third SLO. Members discussed the SR SLOs. One member asked whether an art class could satisfy SLO 2. A course must satisfy all 3 SLOs. Members discussed whether the language “physical or natural world” should be changed to natural world.

2. Recommendations for the QR SLOs from the QR subgroup.
A member pointed out that there are no Mathematics faculty on FIRC and whether it would be appropriate to seek feedback from content experts. A member asked if there were any issues with seeking feedback from content experts. Members were asked to reach out to mathematics faculty on their respective campuses and seek informal feedback. A member pointed out that the entire document shouldn't be shared, just the existing, proposed and Fall 2021 SLOs.
3. Update from CLIL SLO subgroup.
A member pointed out how difficult it will be for a single course, like CCS 101, to satisfy all the CLIL outcomes and Diversity outcomes. S.S. pointed out that SLO3 needs more work from the subgroup.

Discussion of diversity email from CSCU-announcement

An email from Michael Rooke seeking feedback from the community colleges (and not the state universities) regarding the diversity requirement was discussed. A member commented that state university faculty cannot give feedback by clicking on the form. S.S. spoke about the history of the diversity requirement.

Meeting adjourned 12:01 PM.